arXiv:1512.05557v2 [math.CV] 21 Dec 2015

The minimum modulus of gap power series and h-measure of exceptional sets

T. M. Salo

Institute of Applied Mathematics and Fundamental Sciences,
National University "Lvivs'ka Polytehnika", Ukraine
tetyan.salo@gmail.com

O. B. Skaskiv

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, Ukraine
olskask@gmail.com

Abstract

For entire function of the form $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} f_k z^{n_k}$, where (n_k) is a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers, we establish conditions when the relations

$$M_f(r) = (1 + o(1))m_f(r), \quad M_f(r) = (1 + o(1))\mu_f(r)$$

is true as $r \to +\infty$ outside some set E such that h-meas $(E) = \int_E \frac{dh(r)}{r} < +\infty$ uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}$, where $h(r)$ is positive continuous function increasing to $+\infty$ on $[0, +\infty)$ with non-decreasing derivative, and $M_f(r) = \max\{|f(z)|\colon |z| = r\}$, $m_f(r) = \min\{|f(z)|\colon |z| = r\}$, $\mu_f(r) = \max\{|f_k|r^{n_k}\colon k \geq 0\}$ the maximum modulus, the minimum modulus and the maximum term of f respectively.

Subject Classification: 30B50

Keywords: gap power series, minimum modulus, maximum modulus, maximal term, entire Dirichlet series, exceptional set

1 Introduction

Let L be the class of positive continuous functions increasing to $+\infty$ on $[0; +\infty)$. By L^+ we denote the subclass of L which consists of the differentiable functions with non-decreasing derivative, and L^- the subclass of functions with non-increasing derivative.

Let f be an entire function of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} f_k z^{n_k}, \tag{1}$$

where (n_k) is a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers. For $r > 0$ we denote by $M_f(r) = \max\{|f(z)|\colon |z| = r\}$, $m_f(r) = \min\{|f(z)|\colon |z| = r\}$, $\mu_f(r) = \max\{|f_k|r^{n_k}\colon k \geq 0\}$ the maximum modulus, the minimum modulus and the maximum term of f respectively.

P.C. Fenton [1] (see also [2]) has proved the following statement.

Theorem 1.1 ([1]). *If*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n_{k+1} - n_k} < +\infty, \tag{2}$$

then for every entire function f of the form (1) *there exists a set $E \subset [1, +\infty)$ of finite logarithmic measure, i.e.* log-meas $E := \int_E d\log r < +\infty$, *such that relations*

$$M_f(r) = (1 + o(1))m_f(r), \quad M_f(r) = (1 + o(1))\mu_f(r) \tag{3}$$

hold as $r \to +\infty$ $(r \notin E)$.

P. Erdős and A.J. Macintyre [2] proved that conditions (2) implies that (3) holds as $r = r_j \to +\infty$ for some sequence (r_j).

Denote by $D(\Lambda)$ the class of entire (absolutely convergent in the complex plane) Dirichlet series of form

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n e^{z\lambda_n}, \tag{4}$$

where $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)$ is a fixed sequence such that $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_n \uparrow +\infty$ $(1 \leq n \uparrow +\infty)$.

Let us introduce some notations for $F \in D(\Lambda)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$: $\mu(x, F) = \max\{|a_n|e^{x\lambda_n}\colon n \geq 0\}$ is the maximal term, $M(x, F) = \sup\{|F(x+iy)|\colon y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the maximum modulus, $m(x, F) = \inf\{|F(x + iy)|\colon y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the minimum modulus, $\nu(x, F) = \max\{n\colon |a_n|e^{x\lambda_n} = \mu(x, F)\}$ is the central index of series (4).

In [3] (see also [4]) we find the following theorem.

Theorem A (O.B. Skaskiv, 1984). *For every entire function $F \in D(\Lambda)$ relation*

$$F(x + iy) = (1 + o(1))a_{\nu(x,F)}e^{(x+iy)\lambda_{\nu(x,F)}} \tag{5}$$

holds as $x \to +\infty$ outside some set E of finite Lebesgue measure ($\int_E dx < +\infty$) uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n} < +\infty. \tag{6}$$

Note, in the paper [5] was proved the analogues of other assertions from the article of Fenton [1] for the subclasses of functions $F \in D(\Lambda)$ defined by various restrictions on the growth rate of the maximal term $\mu(x, F)$.

The finiteness of Lebesgue measure of an exceptional set E in theorem A is the best possible description. It follows from the such statement.

Theorem B (T.M. Salo, O.B. Skaskiv, 2001 [6]). *For every sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_k)$ (including those which satisfy (6)) and for every positive continuous differentiable function $h\colon [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ such that $h'(x) \nearrow +\infty$ $(x \to +\infty)$ there exist an entire Dirichlet series $F \in D(\lambda)$, a constant $\beta > 0$ and a measurable set $E_1 \subset [0, +\infty)$ of infinite $h-$measure (*h-meas $(E_1) \stackrel{def}{=} \int_{E_1} dh(x) = +\infty$*) such that*

$$(\forall\, x \in E_1)\colon\ M(x, F) > (1 + \beta)\mu(x, F), \quad M(x, F) > (1 + \beta)m(x, F). \tag{7}$$

Recently, Ya.V. Mykytyuk showed us, that in Theorem it is enough to require that the positive non-decreasing function h be such that

$$h(x)/x \to +\infty \ (x \to +\infty).$$

From Theorem B follows that the finiteness of logarithmic measure of an exceptional set E in Fenton's Theorem 1.1 also is the best possible description.

It is easy to see that the relation

$$F(x + iy) = (1 + o(1))a_{\nu(x,F)} e^{(x+iy)\lambda_{\nu(x,F)}}$$

holds as $x \to +\infty$ $(x \notin E)$ uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if

$$M(x, F) \sim \mu(x, F) \quad \text{and} \quad M(x, F) \sim m(x, F) \quad (x \to +\infty,\ x \notin E). \tag{8}$$

Due to Theorem B the natural question arises: *what conditions must satisfy the entire Dirichlet series that relation (5) is true as $x \to +\infty$ outside some set E_2 of finite h-measure, i.e.*

$$\text{h-meas } (E_2) < +\infty\,?$$

In this paper we obtain the answer to this question when $h \in L^+$.

2 $h-$measure with non-decreasing density

According to Theorem B, in case $h \in L^+$ condition (6) must be fulfilled. Therefore, in subclass

$$D(\Lambda,\Phi)=\{F\in D(\Lambda):\ \ln\mu(x,F)\geq x\Phi(x)\ (x>x_0)\},\quad \Phi\in L,$$

it should be strengthened. The following theorem indicates this.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Phi\in L$, $h\in L^+$ and φ be the inverse function to the function Φ. If*

$$(\forall b>0):\ \ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_k}h'\Big(\varphi(\lambda_k)+\frac{b}{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_k}\Big)<+\infty, \tag{9}$$

then for all $F\in D(\Lambda,\Phi)$ holds (5) is true as $x\to+\infty$ outside some set E of finite h-measure uniformly in $y\in\mathbb{R}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Note first that condition (9) implies the convergence of series (6). Denote $\Delta_0=0$ and for $n\geq 1$

$$\Delta_n=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(\lambda_{j+1}-\lambda_j)\sum_{m=j+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_m-\lambda_{m-1}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{m+1}-\lambda_m}\right).$$

Consider the function

$$f_q(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\frac{a_n}{\alpha_n}\ e^{z\lambda_n},$$

where $\alpha_n=e^{q\Delta_n},\ q>0$.

Since $\Delta_n\geq 0$, then $f_q\in D(\Lambda)$ and $\nu(x,f_q)\to+\infty$ $(x\to+\infty)$.

Repeating the proof of Lemma 1 from [8], it is not difficult to obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For all $n\geq 0$ and $k\geq 1$ inequality*

$$\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_k}\ e^{\tau_k(\lambda_n-\lambda_k)}\leq e^{-q|n-k|}, \tag{10}$$

is true, where $\tau_k=\tau_k(q)=qx_k+\dfrac{q}{\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1}},\ x_k=\dfrac{\Delta_{k-1}-\Delta_k}{\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1}}$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Since

$$\ln\alpha_n-\ln\alpha_{n-1}=q(\Delta_n-\Delta_{n-1})=-qx_n(\lambda_n-\lambda_{n-1}),$$

then for $n \geq k+1$ we have

$$\ln \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_k} + \tau_k(\lambda_n - \lambda_k) = -q \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} x_j(\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}) + \tau_k \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}) =$$

$$= -\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} (qx_j - \tau_k)(\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}) \leq -\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} (qx_j - \tau_{j-1})(\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}) =$$

$$= -q \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} 1 = -q(n-k).$$

Similarly, for $n \leq k-1$ we obtain

$$\ln \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_k} + \tau_k(\lambda_n - \lambda_k) = -\ln \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_n} - \tau_k(\lambda_k - \lambda_n) =$$

$$= q \sum_{j=n+1}^{k} x_j(\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}) - \tau_k \sum_{j=n+1}^{k} (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}) = -\sum_{j=n+1}^{k} (\tau_k - qx_j)(\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}) \leq$$

$$\leq -\sum_{j=n+1}^{k} (\tau_j - qx_j)(\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}) = -q \sum_{j=n+1}^{k} 1 = -q(k-n)$$

and Lemma 1 is proved. □

Let J be the range of central index $\nu(x, f_q)$. Denote by (R_k) the sequence of the jump points of central index, numbered in such a way that $\nu(x, f_q) = k$ for all $x \in [R_k, R_{k+1})$ and $R_k < R_{k+1}$. Then for all $x \in [R_k, R_{k+1})$ and $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\frac{a_n}{\alpha_n} e^{x\lambda_n} \leq \frac{a_k}{\alpha_k} e^{x\lambda_k}.$$

According to Lemma 1, for $x \in [R_k + \tau_k, R_{k+1} + \tau_k)$ we obtain

$$\frac{a_n e^{x\lambda_n}}{a_k e^{x\lambda_k}} \leq \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_k}\, e^{\tau_k(\lambda_n - \lambda_k)} \leq e^{-q|n-k|} \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Therefore,

$$\nu(x, F) = k, \quad \mu(x, F) = a_k e^{x\lambda_k} \quad (x \in [R_k + \tau_k, R_{k+1} + \tau_k)) \tag{11}$$

and

$$|F(x+iy) - a_{\nu(x,F)} e^{(x+iy)\lambda_{\nu(x,F)}}| \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{n \neq \nu(x,F)} \mu(x, F) e^{-q|n-\nu(x,F)|} \leq 2\, \frac{e^{-q}}{1 - e^{-q}} \mu(x, F) \tag{12}$$

for all $x \in [R_k + \tau_k, R_{k+1} + \tau_k)$ and $k \in J$. Thus, inequality (12) holds for all $x \notin E_1(q) \overset{def}{=} \bigcup\limits_{k=0}^{+\infty} [R_{k+1} + \tau_k, R_{k+1} + \tau_{k+1})$.

Since $\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k = 2q/(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)$, and by the Lagrange theorem

$h(R_{k+1} + \tau_{k+1}) - h(R_{k+1} + \tau_k) = (\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k)h'(R_{k+1} + \tau_k + \theta_k(\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k))$,

where $\theta_k \in (0; 1)$, then for every $q > 0$ we have

$$\text{h-meas } (E_1(q)) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{R_{k+1}+\tau_k}^{R_{k+1}+\tau_{k+1}} dh(x) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (h(R_{k+1} + \tau_{k+1}) - h(R_{k+1} + \tau_k)) \le$$

$$\le 2q \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k} h'\Big(R_{k+1} + \tau_k + 2q \frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k}\Big). \tag{13}$$

Here we applied the condition $h \in L^+$.

For $F \in D(\Lambda, \Phi)$ as $x > \max\{x_0, 1\}$ we have

$$x\Phi(x) \le \ln \mu(x, F) = \ln \mu(1, F) + \int_1^x \lambda_{\nu(x,f)} dx \le \ln \mu(1, F) + (x - 1)\lambda_{\nu(x-0,F)},$$

and for all $x \ge x_1 \ge x_0$ it implies

$$x\Phi(x) \le x\lambda_{\nu(x-0,F)}, \tag{14}$$

i.e.

$$x \le \varphi\left(\lambda_{\nu(x-0,F)}\right) \quad (x \ge x_1).$$

Thus, according to (11) for $k \ge k_0$ we obtain

$$R_{k+1} + \tau_k \le \varphi\left(\lambda_{\nu(R_{k+1}+\tau_k-0,F)}\right) = \varphi(\lambda_k).$$

Applying the previous inequality to inequality (13), by the condition $h \in L^+$ we have

$$\text{h-meas } (E_1(q)) \le 2q \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k} h'\Big(\varphi(\lambda_k) + 2q \frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k}\Big). \tag{15}$$

Therefore, using (9) we conclude that h-meas $(E_1(q)) < +\infty$.

Let $q_k = k$. Since h-meas$(E_1(q_k)) < +\infty$, then

$$\text{h-meas}(E_1(q_k) \cap [x, +\infty)) = o(1) \quad (x \to +\infty),$$

thus it is possible to choose an increasing to $+\infty$ sequence (x_k) such that

$$\text{h-meas}\,\big(E_1(q_k)\cap[x_k;+\infty)\big)\le\frac{1}{k^2}$$

for all $k\ge 1$. Denote $E_1=\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{+\infty}\big(E_1(q_k)\cap[x_k;x_{k+1})\big)$. Then

$$\text{h-meas}\,(E_1)=\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\text{h-meas}\;\;(E_1(q_k)\cap[x_k;x_{k+1}))\le\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{k^2}<+\infty,$$

On the other hand from inequality (12) we deduce for $x\in[x_k;x_{k+1})\setminus E_1$

$$|F(x+iy)-a_{\nu(x,F)}e^{(x+iy)\lambda_{\nu(x,F)}}|\le 2\;\frac{e^{-q_k}}{1-e^{-q_k}}\mu(x,F),$$

whence, as $x\to+\infty$ $(x\notin E_1)$ we obtain (5). Theorem 2.1 is proved. □

Note, if $h(x)\equiv x$ then condition (9) turn into condition (6), and h - measure of the set E is it's Lebesgue measure.

Let $\Phi\in L$. Consider the classes

$$D_0(\Lambda,\Phi)=\{F\in D(\Lambda):\;(\exists K>0)[\,\ln\mu(x,\Phi)\ge Kx\Phi(x)\;(x>x_0)]\},$$

$$D_1(\Lambda,\Phi)=\{F\in D(\Lambda):\;(\exists K_1,K_2>0)[\,\ln\mu(x,\Phi)\ge K_1x\Phi(K_2x)\;(x>x_0)]\}.$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\Phi_0\in L$, $h\in L^+$ and φ_0 be the inverse function to the function Φ_0. If*

$$(\forall b>0):\;\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_n}h'\left(\varphi_0(b\lambda_n)+\frac{b}{\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_n}\right)<+\infty,\qquad(16)$$

then for each function $F\in D_0(\Lambda,\Phi_0)$ relation (5) holds as $x\to+\infty$ outside some set E of finite h - measure uniformly in $y\in\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\Phi_1\in L$, $h\in L^+$, and φ_1 be the inverse function to the function Φ_1. If*

$$(\forall b>0):\;\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\frac{h'(b\varphi_1(b\lambda_n))}{\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_n}<+\infty,\qquad(17)$$

then for every function $F\in D_1(\Lambda,\Phi_1)$ relation (5) holds as $x\to+\infty$ outside some set E of finite h-measure uniformly in $y\in\mathbb{R}$.

Proof of Theorems 2 and 3. Theorems 2 and 3 immediately follow from Theorem 2.1.

Indeed, if $F \in D_0(\Lambda, \Phi_0)$ then $F \in D(\Lambda, \Phi)$ as $\Phi(x) = K\Phi_0(x)$. But in this case $\varphi(x) = \varphi_0(x/K)$ and thus condition (9) follows from condition (16). It remains to apply Theorem 2.1.

Similarly, if $F \in D_1(\Lambda, \Phi_1)$ then $F \in D(\Lambda, \Phi)$ as $\Phi(x) = K_1\Phi_1(K_2x)$. But in this case $\varphi(x) = \varphi_1(x/K_1)/K_2$ and thus condition (9) follows from condition (17). It remains to apply Theorem 2.1 again. □

Remark 2.1. *It is easy to see, that for every fixed functions $h \in L^+$ and $\Phi \in L$ there exists a sequence Λ such that conditions* (9), (16) *and* (17) *hold.*

The following theorem indicates that condition (17) is necessary for relations (5), (8) to hold for every $F \in D_1(\Lambda, \Phi_1)$ as $x \to +\infty$ outside a set of finite h - measure. Here we assume that condition (6) is satisfied.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\Phi_1 \in L$, $h \in L^+$, and φ_1 is the inverse function to the function Φ_1. For every sequence Λ such that*

$$(\exists b > 0): \quad \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{h'(b\varphi_1(b\lambda_n))}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n} = +\infty, \tag{18}$$

there exist a function $F \in D_1(\Lambda, \Phi_1)$, a set $E \subset [0, +\infty)$ and a constant $\beta > 0$ such that inequalities (7) hold for all $x \in E$ and h-meas $(E) = +\infty$.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Denote $\varkappa_1 = \varkappa_2 = 1$, $\varkappa_n = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n-2} r_k$ $(n \geq 3)$, where

$$r_1 = \max\Big\{b\varphi_1(b\lambda_2), \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}\Big\},$$

$$r_k = \max\Big\{b\varphi_1(b\lambda_{k+1}) - b\varphi_1(b\lambda_k), \frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k}\Big\} \quad (k \geq 2),$$

and also choose $a_0 = 1$, $a_n = \exp\Big\{-\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \varkappa_k(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})\Big\}$ $(n \geq 1)$. We prove that the function F defined by series (4) of the so-defined coefficients (a_n) and indices (λ_n) belongs to class $D_1(\Lambda, \Phi_1)$.

Since $\sum\limits_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n} < +\infty$ implies $n = o(\lambda_n)$ $(n \to +\infty)$, thus $\frac{\ln n}{\lambda n} \to 0$ $(n \to +\infty)$. By the construction $\varkappa_n = \frac{\ln a_{n-1} - \ln a_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}$ $(n \geq 1)$ and $\varkappa_n \uparrow +\infty$ $(n \to +\infty)$, therefore Stolz's theorem yields $-\frac{\ln a_n}{\lambda_n} \to +\infty$ $(n \to +\infty)$ and by Valiron's theorem [9, p.85]) the abscissa of absolute convergence of series (4) is equal to $+\infty$, i.e. $F \in D(\Lambda)$.

Moreover, it is known that in case $\varkappa_n \uparrow +\infty$ $(n \to +\infty)$

$$\forall x \in [\varkappa_n, \varkappa_{n+1}) : \quad \mu(x, F) = a_n e^{x\lambda_n}, \quad \nu(x, F) = n. \tag{19}$$

Since by the construction

$$\varkappa_n \le b\varphi_1(b\lambda_{n-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k} \le 2b\varphi_1(b\lambda_{n-1}) \quad (n > n_0),$$

for sufficiently large n for all $x \in [\varkappa_n, \varkappa_{n+1})$

$$\ln \mu(2x, F) = \ln \mu(x, F) + \int_x^{2x} \lambda_{\nu(t)} dt \ge x\lambda_{\nu(x)} =$$

$$= x\lambda_n \ge \frac{x}{b}\Phi_1\left(\frac{\varkappa_{n+1}}{2b}\right) \ge \frac{x}{b}\Phi_1\left(\frac{x}{2b}\right).$$

Hence, for $x \ge x_0$ we have

$$\ln \mu(x, F) \ge \frac{1}{2b} x\Phi_1\Big(\frac{x}{4b}\Big)$$

and thus $F \in D_1(\Lambda, \Phi_1)$.

Note that

$$\varkappa_{n+1} - \varkappa_n = r_{n-1} \ge \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \quad (n \ge 1).$$

For $x \in \left[\varkappa_n, \varkappa_n + \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}\right]$ we have

$$\frac{a_{n-1}e^{x\lambda_{n-1}}}{\mu(x, F)} = \frac{a_{n-1}e^{x\lambda_{n-1}}}{a_n e^{x\lambda_n}} = \exp\{(\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1})(\varkappa_n - x)\} \ge e^{-1} := \beta, \tag{20}$$

and, therefore, for $x \in E = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\varkappa_n, \varkappa_n + \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}\right]$, choosing $n = \nu(x, F)$, we obtain

$$F(x) \ge a_{n-1}e^{x\lambda_{n-1}} + a_n e^{x\lambda_n} = \mu(x, F)\left(1 + \frac{a_{n-1}e^{x\lambda_{n-1}}}{a_n e^{x\lambda_n}}\right) \ge (1 + \beta)\mu(x, F),$$

hence inequalities (7) are true.

Now we prove that h-meas $(E) = +\infty$. By the construction $(\varkappa_n)$ for all $n \ge 1$ we have

$$\varkappa_n \ge b\varphi_1(b\lambda_{n-1}). \tag{21}$$

Taking into account the Lagrange theorem, condition $h \in L^+$ and inequality (21) we obtain

$$\text{h-meas } (E) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\varkappa_n}^{\varkappa_n + \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}} dh(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(h(\varkappa_n + \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}) - h(\varkappa_n)\right) \ge$$

$$\geq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{h'(\varkappa_n)}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{h'(b\varphi_1(b\lambda_{n-1}))}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} = +\infty.$$

Theorem 2.4 is proved. □

The following criterion immediately follows from Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\Phi_1 \in L$, $h \in L^+$ and φ_1 be the inverse function to the function Φ_1. For every entire function $F \in D_1(\Lambda, \Phi_1)$ relation (5) holds as $x \to +\infty$ outside some set E of finite h - measure uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if (17) be true.*

It is worth noting that if condition (16) of Theorem 2.2 is not fulfilled, that is

$$(\exists b_1 > 0): \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n} h'\left(\varphi_0(b_1\lambda_n) + \frac{b_1}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n}\right) = +\infty,$$

then for $b = \max\{b_1; 2\}$ we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{h'(b\varphi_0(b\lambda_n))}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n} = +\infty.$$

Therefore, condition (18) holds and according to Theorem 2.4 there exists a function $F \in D_1(\Lambda, \Phi_0)$, a set $E \subset [0, +\infty)$ and a constant $\beta > 0$ such that inequalities (7) hold for all $x \in E$ and h-meas $(E) = +\infty$.

Since for $\Phi_0(x) = x^\alpha$ $(\alpha > 0)$ we have $D_0(\Lambda, \Phi_0) = D_1(\Lambda, \Phi_0)$, then from Theorem 2.2 and 2.4 we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\Phi_0(x) = x^\alpha$ $(\alpha > 0)$, $h \in L^+$. For every entire function $F \in D_0(\Lambda, \Phi_0)$ relation (5) holds as $x \to +\infty$ outside some set E of finite h - measure uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if*

$$(\forall b > 0): \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n} h'\left(b(\lambda_n)^{1/\alpha} + \frac{b}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n}\right) < +\infty,$$

is true.

3 $h-$measure with non-increasing density

Note that for every differentiable function $h\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ with the bounded derivative $h'(x) \leq c < +\infty$ $(x > 0)$

$$\int_E dh(x) = \int_E h'(x)dx \leq c \int_E dx,$$

thus, the finiteness of Lebesgue measure of the set $E \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ implies h-meas $(E) < +\infty$. Therefore, according to Theorem A, condition (6) is sufficient to have the exceptional set E of finite $h-$measure. However, we express an assumption that for $h \in L^-$ in the subclass

$$D_\varphi(\Lambda) = \big\{F \in D(\Lambda):\ (\exists n_0)(\forall n \geq n_0)[|a_n| \leq \exp\{-\lambda_n \varphi(\lambda_n)\}]\big\}, \quad \varphi \in L,$$

condition (6) can be weakened significantly. The following conjecture seems to be true.

Conjecture 3.1. *Let $\varphi \in L$, $h \in L^-$. If*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{h'(\varphi(\lambda_n))}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n} < +\infty,$$

then for all $F \in D_\varphi(\Lambda)$ relation (5) is true as $x \to +\infty$ outside some set E of finite h-measure uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

4 $h-$measure and lacunary power series

The important corollaries for entire functions represented by lacunary power series of the form (1) ensue from the well-proven theorems.

For entire function f of the form (1) we put $F(z) = f(e^z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Note that for $x = \ln r$, $y = \varphi$

$$F(x + iy) = F(\ln r + i\varphi) = f(re^{i\varphi})$$

and $M(x, F) = M_f(r)$, $m(x, F) = m_f(r)$, $\mu(x, F) = \mu_f(r)$, $\nu(x, F) = \nu_f(r)$. In addition, for $E_2 \overset{def}{=} \{r \in \mathbb{R} : \ln r \in E_1\}$ and h_1 such that $h_1'(x) = h'(e^x)$ it is true

$$h\text{-log-meas}(E_2) \overset{def}{=} \int_{E_2} \frac{dh(r)}{r} = \int_{E_1} \frac{dh(e^x)}{e^x} = \int_{E_1} dh_1(x) = h_1\text{-meas}(E_1).$$

Hence, the next corollary follows from Theorem B.

Corollary 4.1. *For every sequence (n_k) such that condition (6) holds and for every function $h \in L^+$ there exist an entire function f of the form (1), a constant $\beta > 0$ and a set E_2 of infinite h-log-measure, i.e.$\big(\int_{E_2} \frac{dh(r)}{r} = +\infty\big)$ such that*

$$(\forall r \in E_2):\ M_f(r) \geq (1 + \beta)\mu_f(r), \quad M_f(r) \geq (1 + \beta)m_f(r). \tag{22}$$

In turn, from Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following consequence.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\Phi \in L$, $h \in L^+$ and φ be the inverse function to the function Φ. If for an entire function f of the form (1)*

$$\ln \mu_f(r) \geq \ln r\Phi(\ln r) \qquad (r \geq r_0) \tag{23}$$

and

$$(\forall b > 0): \quad \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n_{k+1} - n_k} h'\Big(\exp\Big\{ \varphi(n_k) + \frac{b}{n_{k+1} - n_k} \Big\} \Big) < +\infty, \tag{24}$$

then relation

$$f(re^{i\varphi}) = (1 + o(1)) a_{\nu_f(r)} r^{n_{\nu_f(r)}} e^{i\varphi n_{\nu_f(r)}} \tag{25}$$

holds as $r \to +\infty$ outside some set E_2 of finite h-log-measure uniformly in $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$.

In fact, from condition (23) it follows that $F \in D(\Lambda, \Phi)$ with $\Lambda = (n_k)$ and it remains to apply Theorem 2.1 with the function h_1.

Denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the class of entire functions of positive lower order, i.e.

$$\lambda_f := \varliminf_{r \to +\infty} \ln \ln M_f(r) / \ln r > 0.$$

Immediately from Theorem 2.5 we obtain following assertion.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $h \in L^+$. In order that relations (3) hold for every function $f \in \mathcal{E}$ of the form (1) as $r \to +\infty$ outside a set of finite h-log-measure, necessary and sufficient*

$$(\forall b > 0): \quad \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n_{k+1} - n_k} h'\Big((n_k)^b \Big) < +\infty.$$

Acknowledgements. We are indebted to Dr. A.O.Kuryliak for helpful comments and corrections to previous versions of this note.

References

[1] P.C. Fenton, The minimum modulus of gap power series, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **21** (1978), 49–54.

[2] P. Erdös, A.J. Macintyre, Integral functions with gap power series, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **10** (1953), 62–70.

[3] O.B. Skaskiv, Maximum of the modulus and maximal term of an integral Dirichlet series, *Dop. Akad. Nauk Ukr. SSR, Ser. A*, No.11 (1984), 22–24. (in Ukrainian)

[4] R.P. Srivastava, On the entire functions and their derivatives represented by Dirichlet series, *Ganita*, **9** (1958) No.2, 82–92.

[5] O.B. Skaskiv, M.N. Sheremeta, On the asymptotic behavior of entire Dirichlet series. (English. Russian original) *Math. USSR, Sb.* **59** (1988) No.2, 379-396; translation from Mat. Sb., Nov. Ser. **131**(173) (1986) No.3(11), 385-402.

[6] T.M. Salo, O.B. Skaskiv, O.M. Trakalo, On the best possible description of exceptional set in Wiman–Valiron theory for entire functions, *Mat. Stud.*, **16** (2001) No.2, 131–140.

[7] T. Salo, O. Skaskiv, On the maximum modulus and maximal term absolute convergent Dirichlet series, *Mat. Visn. Nauk. Tov. Im. Shevchenka*, **4** (2007), 564–574. (in Ukrainian)

http://journals.iapmm.lviv.ua/ojs/index.php/MBSSS/article/view/53/45

[8] M.R. Lutsyshyn, O.B. Skaskiv, *Asymptotic properties of a multiple Dirichlet series,* Mat. Stud., **3** (1994) 41–48. (in Ukrainian)

http://matstud.org.ua/texts/1994/3/3_041-048.pdf

[9] A.F. Leont'ev. Entire functions. Exponential series, M.: Nauka, 1983, 176 p. (in Russian)